In the United States, federal acts and regulations, as well as professional guidelines, state that every pregnant woman has the right to base her maternity care decisions on accurate, up-to-date, comprehensible information. Contrary to legal mandates, mounting evidence reveals that the application of informed consent within current health-care practice is restricted and inconsistently implemented (Declercq et al., 2006; Jung et al., 1997; Levy, 1999a; O’Cathain, Thomas, Walters, Nicholl, & Kirkham, 2002; Rosen, Anell, & Hjortsberg, 2001; Rothenbacher, Lutz, & Porzsolt, 1997). In addition, practitioners report underestimating patient preferences to participate in health-care decisions (Bruera, Sweeney, Calder, Palmer, & Benisch-Tolley, 2001; Hammond, Bandak, & Williams, 1999; Jung et al., 1997; Rothenbacher et al., 1997) and not integrating informed decision-making principles into practice (Elwyn, Edwards, Gwyn, & Grol, 1999; Hindley & Thomson, 2005), thus highlighting a gap in care.
Access and availability to evidence-based information is central to the legal and ethical sanction of informed consent and is imperative to the improvement of health outcomes, particularly at a time when perinatal mortality and morbidity rates, interventions, and disparities are on the rise in the United States (Martin et al., 2007; Sakala & Corry, 2008). Recent research suggests a correlation between interventions and poor health outcomes (MacDorman, Declercq, Menacker, & Malloy, 2006; March of Dimes, 2006). The current climate of maternity care has provoked mounting political, professional, and public concern (Hicks, Spurgeon, & Barwell, 2003; Sakala & Corry, 2008). The National Department of Health and Human Services' Healthy People 2010 objectives (Keppel, Pearcy, & Klein, 2004), the Safe Motherhood Initiative (Cook & Dickens, 2001), and the Millennium Development Goals (Shaw, 2006) are just a few of the national and international health goals that contain specific measures to improve maternal and neonatal health outcomes.

In an effort to address the disparities and inequities within current maternity care, the Coalition for Improving Maternity Services, a nonprofit organization with a long-standing commitment to promote a wellness and evidence-based model of care, is investigating the breakdown of informed consent in maternity care. In order to do so, the organization appointed the Evidence in Action Committee (EAC) to examine the barriers to the actualization of informed decision making. The EAC has collected and compiled the research and is working on translating empirical data into resources that are accessible to practitioners and the public so that health-care decisions can be based on the most accurate and up-to-date information as possible.

This article provides an overview of the rationale for investigating the issues of informed decision making and why it is imperative to the health and well-being of childbearing families as well as the maternity care system. The article begins with an explanation of informed consent and historical examination of patient involvement in informed decision making, followed by a summary of research related to the benefits of patient participation in informed decision making. It then reviews the discrepancies between what patients want and what practitioners practice regarding information and choice, with specific attention to maternity care. The article concludes with a discussion of current care in the United States and how the EAC is addressing informed decision making.

**INFORMED CONSENT**

The doctrine of informed consent protects patients' rights to voluntary consent or refusal of any medical treatment, procedure, or intervention based on information regarding the risks, benefits, and alternatives of care. This includes the provision of sufficient, evidence-based information to make a decision that reflects self-determination, autonomy, and control (Cahill, 1998; Cook & Dickens, 2001; Coy, 1989; Guadagnoli & Ward, 1998). Patient consent or refusal is more than a legal doctrine to obtain a patient's signature; it is a process of information exchange and involvement of patients in decision making (Ford, Schofield, & Hope, 2003; Oberman, 2000). See the Table for the essential components of informed consent, according to the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.

The U.S. federal government has established several regulations and laws to protect patients' rights to informed consent and refusal, such as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (1996), the Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (1986), and the U.S. Consumer Bill of Rights and Responsibilities regulation (President's Advisory Commission on Consumer Protection and Quality in the Health Care Industry, 1998), as well as the Patient's Bill of Rights (“A Patient’s Bill of Rights: The American Hospital Association,” 1978).

Patients' rights regarding maternity care choices and access to information is promoted by national and international organizations such as the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG Committee on Ethics, 2004); the American College of Physicians (Turton & Snyder, 2008); the American Hospital Association (“A Patient’s Bill of Rights: The American Hospital Association,” 1978); the American Medical Association (Bostick, Sade, McMahon, & Benjamin, 2006); United Kingdom's Department of Health’s Changing Childbirth Initiative (Hicks et al., 2003); the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization’s Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights (Meng, 2008); and the World Health Orga-
Patient consent or refusal is more than a legal doctrine to obtain a patient’s signature; it is a process of information exchange and involvement of patients in decision making. The provision of professional guidelines and protocols related to the application of informed consent and refusal has been established for several decades.

HISTORY OF PATIENT INVOLVEMENT IN DECISION MAKING

In order to understand the importance and application of informed consent and refusal within maternity care, it is helpful to examine the historical evolution of patients’ rights with regard to information, decision-making authority, and autonomy.

The current health-care system was founded on a paternalistic model in which the physician maintained complete and unquestionable authority over all health-related decisions and information (Mallardi, 2005). The patient was seen as an object and recipient of the physician’s medical expertise. The physician acted as the authoritarian benefactor who took care of the patient (Mallardi, 2005). This relationship between patient and physician prevailed, uninterrupted for centuries. In fact, many argue that the paternalistic model not only still exists, but thrives in today’s health-care systems (Arslanian-Engoren, 2002; Cody, 2003; Wittmann-Price, 2004). The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recognizes the problematic existence of the paternalistic model in current obstetric care as a “historical imbalance of power in gender relations [that] constrains individual choice posed by complex medical technology, and the intersection of gender bias with race and class bias in the attitudes and actions of individuals and institutions” (ACOG Committee on Ethics, 2004, p. 11).

In 1957, the concept of informed consent was conceived as a result of the famous Salgo versus Leland Stanford Jr. University Board of Trustees case. This was the first time that the importance of patients being informed in order to consent to medical care was legally acknowledged. As a result of this case, the court established the legal doctrine of informed consent that held physicians liable for withholding any information necessary for the patient to consent to treatment. Additionally, the physician may not minimize any known dangers of a procedure in order to induce consent (Salgo v. Leland Stanford Jr. University Board of Trustees, 1957).

As monumental as this ruling was for patient rights, it was also problematic for practice protocols. Within the ruling, the judge stated that “a certain amount of discretion must be employed consistent with the full disclosure of facts necessary to an informed consent” (Salgo v. Leland Stanford Jr. University Board of Trustees, 1957, 5.(b) para. 1). Negotiating practice policy that reflects discretion and full disclosure of information was left to the physician, which, in essence, gave the physician authority to undermine the legal sanction of providing information to the patient.

Clarification regarding physicians’ legal responsibilities to disclose all information on the risks, benefits, and alternatives to patients prior to treatment was not specified and put into practice until

---

**TABLE**

The Essential Components of Informed Consent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comprehension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinician must assure that s/he has:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Awareness and understanding of the patient’s situation and possibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Used language that is understandable to the patient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adequate Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinician must give adequate information regarding:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Prognosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Alternative treatment choices, including no treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Freedom of choice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient must be free to/of:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Give consent freely, intentionally, and voluntarily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Authorize provider to perform the procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Coercion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pressure from forces beyond herself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Choose among options including other than what may be recommended</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*According to the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. “If [free consent] is to be operative at all in the course of medical treatment, it presupposes knowledge about and understanding of all the available options” (ACOG Committee on Ethics, 2004 p. 11).
the 1980s (Mallardi, 2005). The shift from physician authority to patient autonomy was coupled with a public and political movement that emphasized patient access to information, choice, and personal control regarding individual medical care (Mallardi, 2005).

At this time, the focus of health care on a patient’s physical well-being was expanded to include concern for the patient’s autonomous capacity to make health-care decisions. This shift was paramount in reshaping the previous paternalistic model of care and giving way to the current ethical and legal doctrines of informed consent. Federal acts and regulations, as well as professional guidelines, clearly demonstrate that every pregnant woman has the right to base her maternity care decisions on accurate, up-to-date, comprehensible information.

Making informed choices during childbirth can be complex and multilayered. The process involves the integration of evidence-based information with individual health-care needs, values, beliefs, and preferences (Michie, Dormandy, & Marteau, 2003). Adequate information regarding the risks, benefits, and alternatives is necessary for true informed decision making, particularly in light of mounting evidence regarding risks related to the prevalent use of interventions.

Over 1 million women a year give birth via cesarean section (30% of all births) (Martin et al., 2007). According to the Listening to Mothers II survey (a national study conducted to gather information about women’s attitudes, feelings, knowledge, and experiences in the U.S. maternity care system), 76% of women reported having an epidural, 47% were given pitocin (synthetic oxytocin), and 56% were catheterized (Declercq et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2007). Rising intervention rates underscore the importance of physicians’ legal and ethical obligation to ensure all women fully understand the related potential risks, benefits, and alternatives so they can make informed choices. Not only is this right legally sanctioned, a review of research related to patient involvement in decision making reveals numerous systematic and individual benefits, highlighting the positive implications associated with informed decision making.

The benefits related to the overall sustainability of the health-care system are noteworthy for several reasons. It has been deemed important for policymakers and physicians to pay attention to patient preferences, experiences, and expectations (Hicks et al., 2003), particularly in a time when patients take an active, consumer-like role regarding the selection of health-care practitioner and place of care (Cahill, 1998; O’Cathain et al., 2002; Singh, Newburn, Smith, & Wiggins, 2002).

**BENEFITS OF INFORMED DECISION MAKING**

Research indicates that congruency between patients’ and physicians’ decision-making styles is associated with higher patient evaluation of physicians, as well as an increased likelihood of patient recommendations to others (Krupat, Hsu, Irish, Schmitttdiel, & Selby, 2004). In addition, patient participation in health-care decisions is positively correlated with improvement to patient-physician relationships (Levy, 1999a; Spurgeon, Hicks, & Barwell, 2001) and trust in the physician (Krupat et al., 2004; Levy, 1999a). All of these factors contribute to patient satisfaction and allegiance to health practitioner and place of care, contributing to the overall sustainability of the health-care system.

Numerous physiological benefits are associated with patient involvement in decision making. For example, research correlates overall quality of life, including physical and social functioning (Hack, Degner, Watson, & Sinha, 2006), increased adherence to treatment plan, and improved clinical outcomes (Loh, Leonhart, Wills, Simon, & Härter, 2007) with patient involvement in decision making. Research specific to childbirth suggests an increased sense of responsibility for health of self and baby (Harrison, Kushner, Benzies, Rempel, & Kimak, 2003), as well as shorter recovery periods (Green & Baston, 2003) with patient involvement in decision making.

Several psychological benefits, such as increased patient satisfaction and perception of experience (Christiaens & Bracke, 2007; Goodman, Mackey, & Tavakoli, 2004; Green & Baston, 2003; Green, Coupland, & Kitzinger, 1990; Hodnett, 2002; Knapp, 1996; Lavender, Walkinshaw, & Walton, 1999), enhanced emotional well-being (Green et al., 1990), and increased sense of patient empowerment and self-esteem (Jomeen, 2004; Spurgeon et al., 2001) have been associated with patient decision-making involvement and patient control. Implications specifically related to patient involvement in childbirth decisions and patient control include lower levels of fear (Green & Baston, 2003; Green et al., 1990) and less depressive and posttraumatic stress symptoms after birth (Jomeen, 2004).

Research also indicates a woman’s maternal participation in informed decision making has an
The application of authoritative knowledge, health practitioner support, and practice protocols are influential factors in the actualization of patient participation in informed decision making. The application of authoritative knowledge, health practitioner support, and practice protocols are influential factors in the actualization of patient participation in informed decision making.
decision-making principles into practice (Elwyn et al., 1999; Hindley & Thomson, 2005). These findings may help us better understand several findings of Listening to Mothers II. Seventy-five percent of the women surveyed reported understanding their right to consent or refuse care, but most of the women were unable to accurately describe the risks of common procedures such as induction of labor and cesarean section, and only 18% of women who had episiotomies reported that they participated in making the decision (Declercq et al., 2006).

Another area of divergence between what patients want and how practitioners practice relates to the patient’s ability to freely make choices without coercion, an integral aspect of informed choice. As part of the Listening to Mothers II study, all women were asked if they felt pressure from a health-care practitioner to be induced, to have an epidural, or to have a cesarean section. Women who had a cesarean section were more likely to indicate feeling pressure from a health-care practitioner to have an intervention than women who had a vaginal birth (Declercq et al., 2006). The prevalence of interventions in maternity care, coupled with the associated potential risks, suggests that informed choice is critical and that all patients should have the opportunity to make maternity care decisions without pressure, coercion, or manipulation.

High rates of childbirth interventions are increasingly being questioned. The March of Dimes (2008) has expressed concern about iatrogenic prematurity due to rising rates of cesarean sections and induction of labor. In addition, research suggests a positive relationship between rising rates of cesarean sections and infant mortality rates (MacDorman et al., 2006).

There is increasing discussion that the rising cesarean rates are the result of a dramatic increase in maternal demand for primary cesareans. The National Institutes of Health’s (2006) “State-of-the-Science Conference Statement: Cesarean Delivery on Maternal Request” addressed this issue. The Listening to Mothers II survey provides the only national data available on maternal demand cesarean. Less than 2% of first-time mothers reported requesting a cesarean without a medical indication (Declercq et al., 2006).

The rise of national cesarean rates has been accompanied by a decline in vaginal birth after cesarean suggest practice and policy protocols favor the propagation of cesarean sections with no medical reason (Jukelevics, 2008; Wagner, 2000) rather than maternal choice. According to Gene Declercq, “Cesareans have not only been rising for no indication, they have also been rising for virtually every medical indication, suggesting a practice change in obstetrics to perform cesarean section more liberally” (Barclay, 2004, p. 2). Rising cesarean rates coupled with low maternal request reports further illuminate a gap in care between patient choice and practice protocols.

**PROMOTION OF INFORMED DECISION MAKING IN MATERNITY CARE**

The Coalition for Improving Maternity Services’ EAC recognizes the promotion of evidence-based information and choice in maternity care is not a simple task, yet it is imperative to maternity care reform. The committee is developing a multilayer, comprehensive approach that includes efforts to support systematic change and enhanced physician education and awareness, as well as patient education and empowerment.

As part of the committee’s goals, EAC is in the process of developing the following: education resources in multiple languages and literacy levels (for patients and providers); a review of legal cases pertinent to informed decision making, including research regarding the legal implications related to creating specific informed consent forms for maternity care (available to patients and providers); and a literature review of empirical research. Additionally, EAC is in the process of investigating issues related to professional accountability, ways to measure informed consent and refusal protocols in health care, and methods to enhance professional understanding and application of informed decision making into maternity care.

The committee is strategizing a “top-down” and “bottom-up” approach that involves patient and practitioner education resources, tools to enhance informed decision making, specific materials and resources for childbirth educators, and methods to institute systematic change. Partnering with the plethora of already existing evidence-based resources, Web sites, and services that promote education and awareness of informed decision making during maternity care is key as EAC’s work moves forward.

In future investigations, researchers are encouraged to examine whether there is a relationship between informed consent and patient involvement in All patients should have the opportunity to make maternity care decisions without pressure, coercion, or manipulation.
informed decision making and infant and maternal mortality, perinatal mortality, and prematurity. Additional future research may examine whether a lack of informed decision making is a factor in the routine use of medical interventions, persistent perinatal health disparities, and poor maternal–infant health outcomes.

In summary, the importance of informed decision making in maternity care cannot be overstated. Research demonstrates that patient involvement in childbirth decisions has positive effects for mother, baby, family, and society on many levels. The current proliferation of evidence-based information along with rising national intervention rates, perinatal mortality and morbidity rates, and high disparities within the United States (Hicks et al., 2003; Sakala & Corry, 2008) demand further investigation and the development of ways to address the current gap in what is legally mandated versus what is practiced in maternity care. The Coalition for Improving Maternity Services recognizes the central role that informed consent and informed decision making play in the process of improving health outcomes and is committed to promoting informed decision making via a multitier, comprehensive approach that addresses patients, practitioners, and the overall health-care system.
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